

## **Economics of egg production in birds fed on diets containing different energy and protein levels with and without fish meal**

**DEEPIKA JAIN, RPS BAGHEL, SUNIL NAYAK and RAJESH KUMAR**

**Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry  
Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University, Jabalpur 482001 Madhya Pradesh, India**  
Email for correspondence: deepikajainvet@gmail.com

---

© *Society for Advancement of Human and Nature* 2018

*Received: 22.6.2018/Accepted: 12.7.2018*

---

### **ABSTRACT**

The present study was carried out to evaluate economics of egg production in birds (n= 80) fed on diets containing different energy and protein levels with and without fish meal divided into eight equal groups (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>). Birds in all the eight groups were fed maize, soybean meal, fish meal (Jawla fish) and deoiled rice polish (DORP). The economics of egg production was calculated on the basis of quantity of feed consumed, its cost and price of eggs. Cost of feed consumed daily per dozen eggs as well as per kilogram eggs was calculated. Considering the receipt per dozen eggs as well as per kg eggs and the cost of feed consumed and finally the return over feed cost was calculated based on the per dozen as well as per kg eggs. Based on the net return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs it was concluded that diet containing 14 per cent crude protein and 2700 kcal ME/kg was most economical. Hence considering the performance of the birds and economics of their egg production it was concluded that diet containing 14 per cent crude protein and 2700 kcal ME/kg along with lysine and methionine either through pure amino acids or fish meal was most economical.

**Keywords:** Poultry; economics; fish meal; egg; energy; protein

### **INTRODUCTION**

Poultry is one of the fastest growing segments of the agricultural sector in India today. It is growing at a much faster rate and accounts for 100 billion rupees to the gross national product (GNP). In India egg production is growing at a compounded annual growth rate of over 8 per cent. The production of agricultural crops has been rising at a rate of 1.5 to 2 per cent while that of eggs at a rate of 8 to 10 per cent per annum. India although is the world's fifth largest egg producer its per capita egg consumption is poor i.e. 37 eggs per annum (Mehta 2002). These levels are too low as compared to the world average of 147 eggs on per capita basis (Vetrivel and Kumarmangalam 2010).

There is a large variation in the egg consumption among the people of rural and urban areas. Among rural mass it is only 7.7 per annum in comparison to 17.8 per annum in urban areas. The

main sources of protein in poultry rations are animal or plant proteins. Vegetable proteins constitute around 30 per cent of total compounded poultry diet. Majority of the vegetable protein sources are deficient in one or more critical amino acids like methionine, lysine, etc (Adeyemo et al 2012). On account of it a single vegetable protein source cannot be used as a sole source of protein in layer ration. The nutrient requirements of poultry tend to change from one generation to the next because of the applied speed of genetic selection (McDonald et al 2002). Several factors influence nutrient requirements of poultry (Mandal et al 2006). Efforts are continuously made by the researchers to improve the production potential and efficiency of nutrient utilization in birds.

### **MATERIAL and METHODS**

The experiment was laid out in completely randomized design. In the beginning of the experiment one hundred and twenty layer birds were weighed and

their egg production was regularly monitored for fifteen days. Eighty birds of average body weights were selected for the experiment. Rest of the birds with higher and lower body weights were rejected. Considering their body weights and egg production eighty birds were randomly distributed to eight different groups and were assigned eight different diets. Thus each diet was offered to ten layer birds.

The experiment consisted of eight dietary treatments. These were containing different levels of energy and protein. In all the diets lysine and methionine amino acids were maintained at least as per NRC level (Anon 1994). The dietary treatments were T<sub>1</sub> [Crude protein (CP) 14% and metabolizable energy (ME) 2600 kcal/kg without fish meal], T<sub>2</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2600 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>3</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2600 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>4</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2600 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>5</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2700 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>6</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2700 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>7</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2700 kcal/kg without fish meal) and T<sub>8</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2700 kcal/kg with fish meal).

The economics of egg production was calculated on the basis of quantity of feed consumed, its cost and price of eggs. Cost of feed consumed daily per dozen as well as per kilogram eggs was calculated. Considering the receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs and the cost of feed consumed finally the return over feed cost was calculated based on the per dozen as well as per kg eggs.

The data obtained during the experiment were analysed statistically using completely randomized design as per the procedure described by Snedecor and Cochran (1980) and differences among the treatments were tested for significance by Duncan's new multiple range test (Duncan 1955).

## RESULTS and DISCUSSION

### Economics of egg production

The economics of egg production in birds assigned diets with different energy and protein levels without and with fish meal at different slabs of weeks of the experiment is given in Table 1 and the comparative economics of egg production in birds on diets with different energy and protein levels without and with fish meal of the experiment is furnished in Table 2.

**During 0-4 weeks of the experiment (32-36 weeks of age):** The feed cost per dozen eggs was maximum in birds assigned T<sub>7</sub> diet. In comparison to it slightly lower feed cost was observed in birds fed on T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> diets but differences were non-significant. Minimum feed cost per dozen eggs was noted in birds assigned T<sub>8</sub> diet. It was also statistically similar to those allotted T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> diets.

Receipt per dozen eggs was same in all the birds. But receipt per kg eggs was maximum in birds offered T<sub>1</sub> diet. Statistically it was similar with those allotted T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> diets. Minimum receipt per kg eggs was obtained in birds assigned T<sub>4</sub> diet. However among large groups of birds (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>) receipt per kg eggs did not differ significantly.

The return over feed cost per dozen eggs was maximum in birds offered T<sub>8</sub> diet that was statistically similar to those allotted T<sub>6</sub> diet. Lowest return was recorded in birds assigned T<sub>7</sub> diet. But statistically it was also similar to those assigned T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> diets. Return over feed cost per kg eggs was maximum and significantly higher in birds assigned T<sub>8</sub> diet. Minimum return over feed cost per kg eggs noted in birds fed T<sub>7</sub> diet was comparable with T<sub>3</sub> diet.

Among birds offered diets without fish meal, feed cost per dozen eggs did not differ significantly. The feed cost per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds allotted T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> diets in comparison to those offered T<sub>7</sub> diet. Receipt per dozen eggs was similar while receipt per kg eggs was maximum in those allotted T<sub>1</sub> diet however statistically it was comparable to those allotted T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> diets. It was minimum in birds assigned T<sub>3</sub> diet. Return over feed cost per dozen eggs did not differ significantly. The return over feed cost per kg eggs was maximum in birds raised on T<sub>1</sub> diet and minimum in those allotted T<sub>7</sub> diet. However between T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> groups differences were non-significant.

Among the birds assigned fish meal diets feed cost per dozen eggs was statistically similar. But feed cost per kg eggs was maximum in birds offered T<sub>4</sub> diet and it was minimum in birds assigned T<sub>8</sub> diet. However between groups allotted T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>6</sub> diets differences were non-significant. Receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs among these groups did not differ significantly. Return over feed cost was maximum in birds assigned T<sub>8</sub> diet. However it was statistically

Table 1. Economics of egg production in birds fed on diets with different energy and protein levels without and with fish meal

Treatment	Feed cost/dozen eggs (Rs)	Feed cost/kg eggs (Rs)	Receipt/dozen eggs (Rs)	Receipt/kg eggs (Rs)	Return over feed cost/dozen eggs (Rs)	Return over feed cost/kg eggs (Rs)
<b>0-4 weeks of the experiment</b>						
T <sub>1</sub>	30.22 <sup>a</sup>	52.99 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	79.98 <sup>a</sup>	15.38 <sup>e</sup>	26.99 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	28.04 <sup>b</sup>	46.80 <sup>cd</sup>	45.6	76.11 <sup>bc</sup>	17.56 <sup>bc</sup>	29.31 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	30.03 <sup>a</sup>	50.38 <sup>ab</sup>	45.6	76.50 <sup>bc</sup>	15.57 <sup>de</sup>	26.12 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	28.94 <sup>b</sup>	48.07 <sup>bc</sup>	45.6	75.74 <sup>c</sup>	16.66 <sup>cd</sup>	27.67 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	30.34 <sup>ab</sup>	52.64 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	79.13 <sup>ab</sup>	15.26 <sup>e</sup>	26.49 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	27.56 <sup>b</sup>	46.23 <sup>cd</sup>	45.6	76.46 <sup>bc</sup>	18.04 <sup>ab</sup>	30.23 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	30.88 <sup>a</sup>	53.05 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	78.30 <sup>abc</sup>	14.72 <sup>e</sup>	25.25 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	26.55 <sup>b</sup>	44.44 <sup>d</sup>	45.6	76.38 <sup>bc</sup>	19.06 <sup>a</sup>	31.94 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	1.595	2.837	-	3.359	1.135	1.634
<b>5-8 weeks of the experiment</b>						
T <sub>1</sub>	29.04 <sup>b</sup>	44.02 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	69.14 <sup>a</sup>	16.56 <sup>b</sup>	25.12 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	27.08 <sup>c</sup>	40.88 <sup>c</sup>	45.6	68.87 <sup>a</sup>	18.52 <sup>a</sup>	27.99 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	30.84 <sup>a</sup>	46.54 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	68.79 <sup>a</sup>	14.76 <sup>c</sup>	22.25 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	29.22 <sup>b</sup>	44.44 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	69.38 <sup>a</sup>	16.38 <sup>b</sup>	24.94 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	29.01 <sup>b</sup>	44.55 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	70.01 <sup>a</sup>	16.59 <sup>b</sup>	25.46 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	26.84 <sup>c</sup>	40.71 <sup>c</sup>	45.6	69.17 <sup>a</sup>	18.76 <sup>a</sup>	28.46 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	31.50 <sup>a</sup>	47.58 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	68.87 <sup>a</sup>	14.10 <sup>c</sup>	21.29 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	28.82 <sup>b</sup>	43.61 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	69.00 <sup>a</sup>	16.78 <sup>b</sup>	25.39 <sup>b</sup>
LSD	1.327	1.987	-	1.419	1.146	1.433
<b>9-12 weeks of experiment</b>						
T <sub>1</sub>	32.52 <sup>ab</sup>	49.01 <sup>a</sup>	48.0	72.32 <sup>a</sup>	15.48 <sup>c</sup>	23.31 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	29.99 <sup>bc</sup>	45.28 <sup>bc</sup>	48.0	72.45 <sup>a</sup>	18.01 <sup>a</sup>	27.17 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	33.63 <sup>a</sup>	48.80 <sup>a</sup>	48.0	69.65 <sup>b</sup>	14.37 <sup>c</sup>	20.85 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	33.08 <sup>a</sup>	49.62 <sup>a</sup>	48.0	72.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.92 <sup>c</sup>	22.39 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	30.13 <sup>bc</sup>	45.09 <sup>bc</sup>	48.0	71.81 <sup>a</sup>	17.87 <sup>ab</sup>	26.72 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	29.53 <sup>bc</sup>	43.95 <sup>bc</sup>	48.0	71.40 <sup>ab</sup>	18.47 <sup>a</sup>	27.45 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	31.25 <sup>b</sup>	46.16 <sup>b</sup>	48.0	70.91 <sup>a</sup>	16.75 <sup>b</sup>	24.75 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	29.33 <sup>c</sup>	42.98 <sup>c</sup>	48.0	70.32 <sup>a</sup>	18.67 <sup>a</sup>	27.34 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	1.733	2.314	-	2.137	1.239	1.752
<b>12 weeks period</b>						
T <sub>1</sub>	30.59 <sup>a</sup>	48.67 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	73.81 <sup>a</sup>	15.81 <sup>bc</sup>	25.14 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	28.37 <sup>bc</sup>	44.32 <sup>b</sup>	46.4	72.48 <sup>a</sup>	18.03 <sup>ab</sup>	28.16 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	31.50 <sup>a</sup>	48.57 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	71.65 <sup>a</sup>	14.90 <sup>c</sup>	23.07 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	30.41 <sup>a</sup>	47.38 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	72.38 <sup>a</sup>	15.99 <sup>abc</sup>	25.00 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	29.83 <sup>ab</sup>	47.43 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	73.65 <sup>a</sup>	16.57 <sup>abc</sup>	26.22 <sup>abc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	27.98 <sup>c</sup>	43.63 <sup>b</sup>	46.4	72.34 <sup>a</sup>	18.42 <sup>a</sup>	28.71 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	31.21 <sup>a</sup>	48.93 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	72.69 <sup>a</sup>	15.19 <sup>c</sup>	23.76 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	28.23 <sup>c</sup>	43.68 <sup>b</sup>	46.4	71.90 <sup>a</sup>	18.17 <sup>ab</sup>	28.22 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	1.837	2.412	-	2.236	2.552	1.837

Values carrying similar letters under each slab of weeks did not differ significantly (P>0.05)

T<sub>1</sub> [Crude protein (CP) 14% and metabolizable energy (ME) 2600 kcal/kg without fish meal], T<sub>2</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2600 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>3</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2600 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>4</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2600 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>5</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2700 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>6</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2700 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>7</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2700 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>8</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2700 kcal/kg with fish meal)

Table 2. Comparative economics of egg production in birds fed on diets with different energy and protein levels without and with fish meal

Treatment	CP/ME	Feed cost/dozen eggs (Rs)	Feed cost/kg eggs (Rs)	Receipt/ dozen eggs (Rs)	Receipt/ kg eggs (Rs)	Return over feed cost/dozen eggs (Rs)	Return over feed cost/kg eggs (Rs)
<b>0-4 weeks of experiment</b>							
<i>Without fish meal</i>							
T <sub>1</sub>	14/2600	30.22 <sup>a</sup>	52.99 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	79.98 <sup>a</sup>	15.38 <sup>c</sup>	26.99 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	14/2700	30.34 <sup>ab</sup>	52.64 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	79.13 <sup>ab</sup>	15.26 <sup>c</sup>	26.49 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	16/2600	30.03 <sup>a</sup>	50.38 <sup>ab</sup>	45.6	76.50 <sup>bc</sup>	15.57 <sup>de</sup>	26.12 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	16/2700	30.88 <sup>a</sup>	53.05 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	78.30 <sup>abc</sup>	14.72 <sup>c</sup>	25.25 <sup>c</sup>
<i>With fish meal</i>							
T <sub>2</sub>	14/2600	28.04 <sup>b</sup>	46.80 <sup>cd</sup>	45.6	76.11 <sup>bc</sup>	17.56 <sup>bc</sup>	29.31 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	14/2700	27.56 <sup>b</sup>	46.23 <sup>cd</sup>	45.6	76.46 <sup>bc</sup>	18.04 <sup>ab</sup>	30.23 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	16/2600	28.94 <sup>b</sup>	48.07 <sup>bc</sup>	45.6	75.74 <sup>c</sup>	16.66 <sup>cd</sup>	27.67 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	16/2700	26.55 <sup>b</sup>	44.44 <sup>d</sup>	45.6	76.38 <sup>bc</sup>	19.06 <sup>a</sup>	31.94 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	- 1.595	2.837	-	3.359	1.135	1.634	
<b>5-8 weeks of experiment</b>							
<i>Without fish meal</i>							
T <sub>1</sub>	14/2600	29.04 <sup>b</sup>	44.02 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	69.14 <sup>a</sup>	16.56 <sup>b</sup>	25.12 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	14/2700	29.01 <sup>b</sup>	44.55 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	70.01 <sup>a</sup>	16.59 <sup>b</sup>	25.46 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	16/2600	30.84 <sup>a</sup>	46.54 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	68.79 <sup>a</sup>	14.76 <sup>c</sup>	22.25 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	16/2700	31.50 <sup>a</sup>	47.58 <sup>a</sup>	45.6	68.87 <sup>a</sup>	14.10 <sup>c</sup>	21.29 <sup>c</sup>
<i>With fish meal</i>							
T <sub>2</sub>	14/2600	27.08 <sup>c</sup>	40.88 <sup>c</sup>	45.6	68.87 <sup>a</sup>	18.52 <sup>a</sup>	27.99 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	14/2700	26.84 <sup>c</sup>	40.71 <sup>c</sup>	45.6	69.17 <sup>a</sup>	18.76 <sup>a</sup>	28.46 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	16/2600	29.22 <sup>b</sup>	44.44 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	69.38 <sup>a</sup>	16.38 <sup>b</sup>	24.94 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	16/2700	28.82 <sup>b</sup>	43.61 <sup>b</sup>	45.6	69.00 <sup>a</sup>	16.78 <sup>b</sup>	25.39 <sup>b</sup>
LSD	-	1.327	1.987	-	1.419	1.146	1.433
<b>9-12 weeks of experiment</b>							
<i>Without fish meal</i>							
T <sub>1</sub>	14/2600	32.52 <sup>ab</sup>	49.01 <sup>a</sup>	48.0	72.32 <sup>a</sup>	15.48 <sup>c</sup>	23.31 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	14/2700	30.13 <sup>bc</sup>	45.09 <sup>bc</sup>	48.0	71.81 <sup>a</sup>	17.87 <sup>ab</sup>	26.72 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	16/2600	33.63 <sup>a</sup>	48.80 <sup>a</sup>	48.0	69.65 <sup>b</sup>	14.37 <sup>c</sup>	20.85 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	16/2700	31.25 <sup>b</sup>	46.16 <sup>b</sup>	48.0	70.91 <sup>a</sup>	16.75 <sup>b</sup>	24.75 <sup>b</sup>
<i>With fish meal</i>							
T <sub>2</sub>	14/2600	29.99 <sup>bc</sup>	45.28 <sup>bc</sup>	48.0	72.45 <sup>a</sup>	18.01 <sup>a</sup>	27.17 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	14/2700	29.53 <sup>bc</sup>	43.95 <sup>bc</sup>	48.0	71.40 <sup>ab</sup>	18.47 <sup>a</sup>	27.45 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	16/2600	33.08 <sup>a</sup>	49.62 <sup>a</sup>	48.0	72.01 <sup>a</sup>	14.92 <sup>c</sup>	22.39 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	16/2700	29.33 <sup>c</sup>	42.98 <sup>c</sup>	48.0	70.32 <sup>a</sup>	18.67 <sup>a</sup>	27.34 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	-	1.733	2.314	-	2.137	1.239	1.752
<b>12 weeks period</b>							
<i>Without fish meal</i>							
T <sub>1</sub>	14/2600	30.59 <sup>a</sup>	48.67 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	73.81 <sup>a</sup>	15.81 <sup>bc</sup>	25.14 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	14/2700	29.83 <sup>ab</sup>	47.43 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	73.65 <sup>a</sup>	16.57 <sup>abc</sup>	26.22 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	16/2600	31.50 <sup>a</sup>	48.57 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	71.65 <sup>a</sup>	14.90 <sup>c</sup>	23.07 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	16/2700	31.21 <sup>a</sup>	48.93 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	72.69 <sup>a</sup>	15.19 <sup>c</sup>	23.76 <sup>cd</sup>
<i>With fish meal</i>							
T <sub>2</sub>	14/2600	28.37 <sup>bc</sup>	44.32 <sup>b</sup>	46.4	72.48 <sup>a</sup>	18.03 <sup>ab</sup>	28.16 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	14/2700	27.98 <sup>c</sup>	43.63 <sup>b</sup>	46.4	72.34 <sup>a</sup>	18.42 <sup>a</sup>	28.71 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	16/2600	30.41 <sup>a</sup>	47.38 <sup>a</sup>	46.4	72.38 <sup>a</sup>	15.99 <sup>abc</sup>	25.00 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	16/2700	28.23 <sup>c</sup>	43.68 <sup>b</sup>	46.4	71.90 <sup>a</sup>	18.17 <sup>ab</sup>	28.22 <sup>a</sup>
LSD	-	1.837	2.412	-	2.236	2.552	1.837

Values carrying similar letters under each slab of weeks did not differ significantly (P>0.05)

T<sub>1</sub> [Crude protein (CP) 14% and metabolizable energy (ME) 2600 kcal/kg without fish meal], T<sub>2</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2600 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>3</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2600 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>4</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2600 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>5</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2700 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>6</sub> (CP 14% and ME 2700 kcal/kg with fish meal), T<sub>7</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2700 kcal/kg without fish meal), T<sub>8</sub> (CP 16% and ME 2700 kcal/kg with fish meal)

comparable to those allotted  $T_6$  diet. The return over feed cost per kg eggs was maximum and significantly higher in birds offered  $T_8$  diet. Minimum return was noticed in birds allotted  $T_4$  diet. Between groups assigned  $T_2$  and  $T_4$  diets differences were non-significant.

**During 5-8 weeks of the experiment (37-40 weeks of age):** Treatment means of the feed cost per dozen eggs indicated minimum feed cost in birds assigned  $T_6$  diet which was comparable with those allotted  $T_2$  diet. Maximum feed cost per dozen eggs observed in birds allotted  $T_7$  diet was comparable with those assigned  $T_3$  diet. The feed cost per kg eggs was maximum in birds allotted  $T_7$  diet that was statistically similar to those offered  $T_3$  diet. Minimum feed cost per kg eggs recorded in birds offered  $T_6$  diet was statistically comparable with those raised on  $T_2$  diet. However the feed cost per kg eggs between groups assigned  $T_1$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_8$  diets was statistically similar. Receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs was similar among the birds of different groups. Return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was maximum in birds assigned  $T_6$  diet which was comparable with  $T_2$ . While minimum return over feed cost was obtained in birds assigned  $T_7$  diet but it was statistically comparable to those allotted  $T_3$  diet.

Among the birds offered diets without fish meal feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly lower in groups assigned  $T_1$  and  $T_5$  diets while it was significantly higher in those assigned  $T_3$  and  $T_7$  diets. Receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs among the groups did not differ significantly. Return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds assigned  $T_1$  and  $T_5$  diets in comparison to those allotted  $T_3$  and  $T_7$  diets.

Among the groups received fish meal diets feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly lower in groups assigned  $T_2$  and  $T_6$  diets while it was significantly higher in those allotted  $T_4$  and  $T_8$  diets. Receipt per dozen as well as kg eggs among different groups did not differ significantly. Conversely return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds raised on  $T_2$  and  $T_6$  diets and lower in those maintained on  $T_4$  and  $T_8$  diets.

**During 9-12<sup>th</sup> weeks of the experiment (41-44 weeks of age):** The maximum feed cost was in birds assigned  $T_3$  diet. However statistically it was

comparable with those allotted  $T_1$  and  $T_4$  diets. The minimum feed cost per dozen eggs was realised in birds allotted  $T_8$  diet. However it was also comparable to those assigned  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_6$  diets. Receipt per dozen eggs was similar in all the groups. While receipt per kg eggs except in birds fed on  $T_3$  diet where it was minimum among all other groups differences were non-significant.

Return over feed cost per dozen eggs was maximum in birds assigned  $T_8$  diet which was comparable to those raised on  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_6$  diets. The feed cost per kg eggs was maximum in birds allotted  $T_6$  diet that was statistically similar to those maintained on  $T_1$  and  $T_3$  diets. Significantly lower return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was observed in birds raised on  $T_3$  diet.

Among the groups of birds fed diets without fish meal feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly higher in groups allotted  $T_1$  diet that was statistically comparable with those offered  $T_3$  diet. Lowest feed cost per dozen eggs recorded in groups assigned  $T_7$  diet was comparable with those fed on  $T_5$  diet. Receipt per dozen eggs was similar in all the groups and receipt per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds offered  $T_1$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_7$  diets in comparison to those allotted  $T_3$  diet. Return over feed cost per dozen eggs was maximum in birds assigned  $T_5$  diet which was statistically comparable with those allotted  $T_7$  diet. Minimum return noted in birds assigned  $T_3$  diet was statistically similar to those allotted  $T_1$  diet. Return over feed cost per kg eggs was also maximum in birds fed  $T_5$  diet. It was minimum and significantly lower in birds allotted  $T_3$  diet.

Among the groups of birds offered fish meal diets feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was maximum and significantly higher in birds assigned  $T_4$  diet. It was followed by those allotted  $T_2$  and  $T_6$ . Minimum feed cost noted in groups assigned  $T_8$  diet was statistically comparable with those fed  $T_2$  and  $T_6$  diets. The receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs was statistically similar in all the groups. Return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds assigned  $T_2$ ,  $T_6$  and  $T_8$  diets. These returns were significantly lower in birds allotted  $T_4$  diet.

**Cumulative economics of egg production:** The feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds assigned  $T_3$  diet that was



statistically comparable with those allotted T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> diets. Lowest feed cost noted in birds offered T<sub>6</sub> diet was statistically comparable with those assigned T<sub>2</sub> diet. Receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs did not differ significantly among different groups of birds.

As regards return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs maximum return was noted in birds assigned T<sub>6</sub> diet. However return over feed cost per dozen eggs was statistically comparable among birds allotted T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> diets. Similarly maximum return over feed cost per kg eggs noted in birds fed T<sub>6</sub> diet was statistically similar to birds allotted T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> diets. Minimum return was noted in birds assigned T<sub>3</sub> diet. Return over feed cost per dozen eggs recorded in birds assigned T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> diets was statistically similar. Similarly return over feed cost per kg eggs observed in birds allotted T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> diets was statistically comparable.

Among the groups of birds offered diets without fish meal feed cost as well as receipt per dozen and per kg eggs did not differ significantly. While return over feed cost per dozen eggs was although maximum in birds assigned T<sub>5</sub> diet but differences were non-significant. While return over feed cost per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds assigned T<sub>5</sub> diet in comparison to those allotted T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> diets where significantly lower return was noted.

Among the groups of birds offered fish meal diets feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was maximum and significantly higher in those maintained on T<sub>4</sub> diet. In remaining three groups (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>) differences were non-significant. Receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs was statistically similar among all the groups of birds. The return over feed cost per dozen eggs was although maximum in birds offered T<sub>6</sub> diet but among groups differences were non-significant. While return over feed cost per kg eggs was minimum and significantly lower in birds assigned T<sub>4</sub> diet in comparison to other groups where statistically higher return was noted. However among these groups differences were non-significant.

Cumulative economics of egg production revealed that feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was maximum in birds fed T<sub>7</sub> diet containing 16 per cent CP and 2700 kcal ME/kg but without fish meal. However statistically it was comparable with birds assigned T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> diets. Minimum cost was noted in birds allotted T<sub>6</sub> diet containing 14 per

cent CP and 2700 kcal ME/kg with fish meal however it was also statistically similar with those allotted T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> diets. Receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs did not differ significantly among different groups of birds assigned diets without or with fish meal. Return over feed cost (per dozen as well as per kg eggs) was maximum in birds assigned T<sub>6</sub> diet having 14 per cent CP and 2700 kcal ME/kg with fish meal. Birds offered T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> diets although had slightly lower return over feed cost but these were statistically similar to those assigned T<sub>6</sub> diet. Minimum return was noted in birds allotted T<sub>4</sub> diet having 16 per cent CP and 2600 kcal ME/kg with fish meal.

Economics of egg production among birds allotted diets without fish meal indicated no significant difference about feed cost as well as receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs. The return over feed cost per dozen eggs was also statistically similar among different groups. Only return over feed cost per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds fed on T<sub>1</sub> diet containing 14 per cent CP and 2600 kcal ME/kg and T<sub>5</sub> diet consisting of 14 per cent CP and 2700 kcal ME/kg in comparison to those allotted T<sub>3</sub> diet having 16 per cent CP and 2600 kcal ME/kg.

On perusal of the economics of egg production among birds assigned fish meal diets it was observed that feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs was significantly higher in birds assigned T<sub>4</sub> diet containing 16 per cent CP and 2600 kcal ME/kg in comparison to other groups. While receipt per dozen as well as per kg eggs and return over feed cost per dozen eggs was statistically similar among these groups of birds. Only the return over feed cost per kg eggs was significantly lower in birds offered T<sub>4</sub> diet in comparison to other groups having maximum return. El-Maksoud et al (2011) also observed that the most economical efficiency and relative economical efficiency values were for hens fed on 14 per cent CP diet supplemented with methionine and lysine followed by hens fed 16 per cent CP diet.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the net return over feed cost per dozen as well as per kg eggs it has been concluded that diet containing 14 per cent crude protein and 2700 kcal ME/kg was most economical. Hence considering the performance of the birds and economics of their egg production it was concluded that diet containing 14 per cent crude protein and 2700 kcal ME/kg along

with at least NRC requirements of lysine and methionine either through pure amino acids or fish meal was most economical.

## REFERENCES

- Adeyemo GO, Abioye SA and Aderemi FA 2012. The effect of varied dietary crude protein levels with balanced amino acids on performance and egg quality characteristics of layer at first laying phase. *Food and Nutrition Sciences* **3**: 526-529.
- Anonymous 1994. Nutrient requirements of poultry. 9<sup>th</sup> revised edn, National Research Council, National Academies Press, Washington, DC.
- Duncan DB 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. *Biometrics* **11**(1): 1-42.
- El-Maksoud A, El-Sheikh SM, Salama AA and Khidr RE 2011. Performance of local laying hens as affected by low protein diets and amino acids supplementation. *Egyptian Poultry Science* **31**: 249-258.
- Mandal AB, Praveen Tyagi K and Srivastav AK 2006. Research priorities in poultry nutrition and feed technology to 2020. In: *Poultry Research Priorities to 2020* (PVK Sasidhar ed), Proceedings of National Seminar, 2-3 Nov 2006, Central Avian Research Institute, Izatnagar, Uttar Pradesh, India, pp 97-114.
- McDonald P, Edwards RA and Greenhalgh JFD 2002. *Animal nutrition*. 6<sup>th</sup> edn, Longman, London and New York, 543p.
- Mehta R 2002. WTO and Indian poultry sector: lessons from state support measures in select countries. *RIS Discussion Papers # 31/2002*, Research and Information System for the Non-Aligned and other Developing Countries, New Delhi, India.
- Snedecor GW and Cochran WG 1980. *Statistical methods*. 6<sup>th</sup> edn, Oxford and IBH Publication Co, New Delhi, India.
- Vetrivel SC and Kumarmangalam SC 2010. Evaluation of poultry egg production in Namakkal district (Tamil Nadu). *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Advances in Engineering* **2**(1): 111-132.