Traditional knowledge systems and conservation practices in tribal society of western Himalayas: a case study of district Kinnaur of Himachal Pradesh

CHAMAN LAL and RS PRASHER

Department of Social Sciences Dr YS Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan 173230 HP, India

Email for correspondence: negi.chaman9@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The tribal people of Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh constitute a special category of economically backward due to its inaccessibility and inhospitable geo-climatic set up of the region. Subsistence agriculture, livestock rearing and trading of the minor forest products are the only means of economic activities of the marginal people. These people have accumulated their own innovative traditional knowledge and have developed a congenial relationship with the locally available biological resources and diverse geo-climatic conditions thus establishing a perfect harmony with the nature. These people since time immemorial are practicing the indigenous means of conservation of cultural and biological diversity. The existence of an age old tradition of preserving forests on the ground of religious and mythological beliefs has become a key factor in the revival of degraded areas. A meaningful development of the area could only be achieved when cultural practices (based on indigenous knowledge) are supplemented with the adoption of modern techniques of agriculture at large by the locals to cope up with labour shortage and increasing the farm productivity. Most of marginal farmers of the region are still relying on subsistence agriculture and are not familiar with surplus production. This might be due to non-availability of markets in the vicinity although the local produce has great demand outside also.

Keywords: Tribal societies; indigenous knowledge; conservation practices; natural resource management; sustainable development

INTRODUCTION

Traditional knowledge is vital for sustainability of natural resources including forests, water and agro-ecosystems across landscape continuum spanning from households through farms, villages, commons and wilderness. Humanity faces

exceptional challenge of eroding natural resources and declining ecosystem services due to a multitude of threats created by unprecedented growth and consumerism. Also imperiled is the biodiversity and sustainability of the essential ecological processes and life support systems in human dominated ecosystems across

scales. Indeed human domination of earth is evident in global change biodiversity extinctions and disruption of ecosystem functions (Singh 2002). Ecological problems coupled with unequal access to resources results in human ill-being and threat to the livelihood security of the world's poorest (Pandey 1996).

The western Himalayas cover approximately 11 per cent of the Himalayan landmass and about 90 per cent people in these areas live in villages. People in their traditional settings are well aware of the values of conserving social, cultural and biological resources. Since generations they have developed and accumulated knowledge, effective devices and methods for conservation, protection and preservation of such value systems. The tribal society of western Himalayas is highly traditional and has characteristic manifestation of man's cultural interactions with nature. These traditional societies have in turn evolved multitude of strategies to make effective and harmonious use of resources. During this process these ethnical societies have resorted to cultural adjustments for a harmonious articulation between community techniques and technologies. Indeed culture has played a vital role in the management of at least the biological resources tuned to the region. If natural reserves do not fulfill the basic needs of the individuals then society starts changing their habits resulting into a new phase of tribal culture. The society decides its own goals and methods ensuring sustained improvement in the quality of life without affecting the traditional values. Therefore culture plays a vital role in development and conservation of traditional society and biological resources (Pernetta and Hill 1984, Cohn 1988, Ramakrishnan 1992, Scott and Walter 1993, Davis and Wali 1994, Singh 2001). The interlinkages between culture and conservation in the Himalayan traditional society has not received any significant recognition (Singh et al 1996). Therefore this study mainly focuses on the cultural facets of traditional societies of western Himalayas where innovation of science and technology is not bringing significant change in their day to day life style but rejuvenation of the indigenous knowledge-based conservation practices and pertaining value addition could be a viable mechanism of sharpening and strengthening of overall socio-economic condition and livelihoods of the tribal communities.

Geography and climate of the study area: The study villages are located in the Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh in India. It is part of the Great Himalayas that lies between 31°05'55" N and 32°05'20" N latitude and between 77°45'E and 79°00' 50"E latitude. The length of the valley is about 365 km which covers an area of nearly 6401 sq km accommodating about 84298 inhabitants (Anon 2011). The district comprises a number of small watersheds which find their way into the

Sutlej river. The hamlets are scattered over both sides of Sutlej river valley. The strips of cultivable lands in valley vary from a few hectares to a few kilometers. Vegetation cover is quite high in lower Kinnaur followed by middle and it is almost absent in upper Kinnaur. Tree species like Pinus roxburghii, Pwallichiana, Pgerardiana, Cedrus deodara, Abies pindrow, Picea *smithiana* etc are found in lower reaches whereas Taxus baccata, Betula utilis and Rhododendron compenulatum are predominantly found at higher elevation. North facing slope is relatively gentle and has adequate vegetation cover, farm fields, soil cover and sporadic settlements. Upper most part of the mountain peaks are usually covered with perpetual snow cover. A major part of the valley remains cut off from other parts of the state due to heavy snowfall during winter period. The rocks in the valley are varied in age from pre-cambrian to permo-carboniferous. Schists, gneisses, granites, quartzites, phyllites, conglongrates, quartzites slate, dolomite and limestone are the major rock types. Rocks have been highly exposed along almost all part of Kinnaur. Soil type is sandy to sandy loam and highly fragile. Thus the problems of landslide and erosion are rather very common in places where the land is not properly terraced.

There are four seasons; spring (middle of March to middle of May), summer (mid-May to mid-September), autumn (mid-September to November) and winter (December to March). The summer

is mild and with the onset of monsoon there is a gradual decline in temperature. After receding of monsoon the mercury drops further thus winter sets in. The period from November to March is coldest. On the basis of rainfall the district can be divided into wet and dry zones. Usually rainfall occurs in monsoon and winter periods.

Villages and the people: There are 660 habitated and un-habitated villages in the Kinnaur district scattered over both sides of the river Sutlej. Multistory houses are common in the region which are built up of stones and wood and are either slated or roofed with iron sheets. The ground floor is used for cattle shed while the upper storey for living purpose. Now a days concrete houses are also coming fast. It is considered that people are from the Aryan stock but a significant trace of Mongoloid feature has also been noticed in the study area. The inhabitants are generally of dark complexion but good looking with a well-built muscular stature. They are frank, active, generous, hospitable and highly honorable in their dealings. Two principal castes, Kanets ie Rajput and scheduled castes are common. Apart from Hinduism people mostly follow Buddism. Sheep and goat rearing is very common profession. The villagers believe in swears of the local deity. There is also a sprinkling of polyandry practiced amongst the people. People are mostly dressed in woolen clothes. Their clothing is well suited to the climate and culture. Women wrap up a woolen shawl like garments locally known as Dhohru in a slightly different way

than the Saree and head covered with typical local cap called Kinnauri Topi.

METHODOLOGY

In an effort to document the indigenous traditional knowledge-based practices of the tribals in general the preliminary survey was conducted in the three developmental blocks viz Nichar, Kalpa and Pooh. After reconnaissance of the region nine Panchyats viz Bari, Katgaon, Yula, Kilba, Powari, Telangi, Moorang, Pooh and Nako at different elevations were finally selected for a thorough study. While selecting the villages it was ensured that these villages would represent all characteristics of the district. Equal representation was given to each village and approximately 5 per cent families from selected villages were interviewed randomly. In total 180 households 20 each from nine different Panchayats were interviewed through interactive discussions with individuals and groups of the people in the entire valley. The fields of farmers were visited and an inventory was made for crops and biological resource use and conservation practices. All the respondent farmers were categorized into five farm size classes viz marginal (<1 ha), small farm (1-2 ha), semi-medium (2-4 ha), medium (4-10 ha) and large farm (>10 ha). Women were given equal preference for the purpose of collection of data. All information pertaining to the use and conservation of endowed biological resources including plants used as

medicines for traditional healthcare system were also recorded. Further farming practices such as factors favouring cultivation of indigenous landraces, crop diversity, cropping patterns and use of different tools and craftsmanship were extracted through a thorough and detailed discussion and filling up questionnaires over a period of entire year 2014. The role of deep-rooted culture in eco-development and management programmes was also enquired from respondents and was recorded into ecological, social and economic development framework.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Study area covered about 0.5 per cent of the state and nearly 5 per cent of the district area. Since there was no town/city the total population lived in the rural areas and was engaged in agriculture and animal husbandry as their main economic activity. Literacy rate was relatively same as that of district and state. Sex ratio of the study area was relatively lower as compared to state level whereas sex ratio was higher in the other rural areas of Himachal Pradesh as well (Singh 1998). The salient features of the study villages are presented in Table 1.

All the study Panchayats were well connected with roads. There was few or no vegetation cover in Pooh and Nako panchayats except stunted growth of *Rosa webbiana* and *Ephedra* spp. The Pooh and Nako Panchayats remained under snow for

Table 1. General characteristic features of the study blocks of Kinnaur at a glance

| Attribute | Nichar | Kalpa | Pooh |
|--|--------|--------|---------|
| *Area (km²) | 1189 | 1779 | 3433 |
| *Human population | 33072 | 27765 | 23461 |
| *Population density/km² | 27.81 | 15.60 | 6.82 |
| *Literacy rate | 80.18 | 82.28 | 79.36 |
| *Sex ratio | 790 | 815 | 860 |
| *Inhabited villages | 85 | 77 | 81 |
| #Households | 6681 | 7824 | 5471 |
| **Approximate elevation (m amsl) | 2200 | 2500 | 3000 |
| **Total cultivated area (km²) | 29.10 | 34.56 | 32.81 |
| **Area not available for cultivation (km²) | 22.24 | 986.95 | 1494.04 |
| **Area under culturable waste (km²) | 9.15 | 7.96 | 15.65 |
| **Permanent pastures and grazing land | 882.10 | 559.56 | 1779.48 |
| †Total livestock population | 50563 | 34591 | 44486 |

Source: *Census India 2011 (Anon 2011), *District Panchayati Raj Office, **District Revenue Office, Kinnaur, †O/o Deputy Director Animal Health & Breeding, Kinnaur, HP

a period of about 2-3 months during winters. Inhospitable geo-climatic area of these Panchayats may be responsible for a lower population while higher population was noticed in the other study Panchayats. Similarly restricted geographical area of Pooh and Nako Panchayats had lesser area under cultivation. Per household cultivable land holdings of most of the study villages were less than 1 ha which was close to the estimate reported in other studies (Singh 1996, Singh et al 1997a, 1997b). The area not available for cultivation and under culturable waste (including common grazing lands and sacred area) was higher in Nako followed by Pooh and Moorang Panchayats. Rearing of livestock was an integral component of farming system. Distress selling of sheep and goat to earn money was common in study Panchayats. Better climate, geography and soil cover

supported more varieties for crop cultivation in Bari, Katgaon, Yula and Kilba as compared to Powari, Telangi, Moorang, Pooh and Nako Panchayats. Livestock population in study Panchayats of Nichar block was higher than of Kalpa and Pooh blocks which revealed the dependence of the rural economy primarily on animal husbandry. Cultural diversity, environmental complexity and precariousness in the farming produce had forced people to practice a variety of ways and means of subsistence knowledge-based techniques of self-dependency (Table 2). In this process people had been utilising locally available resources in various forms. Preparation of organic manure by animal dung and urine with forest-based leaf litter, use of wild edibles and medicinal plants, trading off minor produce, cultivation of traditional crops and artisan activities were

Lal and Prasher

Table 2. Existence of traditional knowledge-based practices in the study area

| Parameter/practice | Response (%) |
|--|--------------|
| Existence of traditional houses | 100 |
| Resolution of social conflicts through informal village committees | 100 |
| Beliefs in local deity/Devta committees | 100 |
| Conservation of biodiversity through religious beliefs | 100 |
| Use of traditional implements/tools | 100 |
| Participation in fairs and festivals | 100 |
| Domestication of wild animals and their breeds | 50 |
| Existence of skilled persons (weavers) | 75 |
| Existence of skilled persons (wood workers, carpenters) | 75 |
| Existence of skilled persons (masons, blacksmiths) | 75 |
| Existence of skilled persons (basket makers) | 30 |
| # hydropower operated watermills | 30 |
| # hydropower operated sawmills | 30 |
| Use of wild edibles | 100 |
| Use of medicinal plants | 100 |
| Cultivation of traditional crops | 100 |
| Operation of organic farming practices | 100 |
| Operation of traditional breeding patterns | 65 |
| Preparation of handicrafts | 90 |
| Wearing of traditional dresses | 90 |

amongst some of the common indigenous practices. Since ancient times people had developed a symbiotic relationship between endowed biological resources and cultural diversities. Traditional ways of practicing agroforestry had been evolved centuries ago through a series of trials and errors over a spatio-temporal scale. Indigenous methods of harvesting natural water for a variety of purposes were very common. People used water for drinking purpose, irrigation of crops, running watermills to grind grains etc. Incorporating the scientific inputs to modify these old systems for better hydropower use would be an asset to the tribal people. Diverting water through small canals would indeed be helpful for proper

use of water rather than making big dams/ hydro-electric projects which may prove a threat to the otherwise fragile ecosystems of the Himalayan region. Use of similar traditional knowledge-based practices have also been reported from other regions of Himachal Pradesh (Singh et al 1996, Singh et al 1997a, 1997b) and Uttarakhand (Farooquee et al 2004). Protecting forest (sacred groves) areas on the ground of religious beliefs have also been noted in the area which is rich in biological and cultural diversity (Singh 1997a, 1997b, 2000). Such practices were regulated through informal committee consisting of head priest (Talrasa) and five other people. Similar practices were also noted from other parts

of the Himachal Himalaya (Singh et al 1996, Farooquee et al 2004). Approximately 20 crops were being cultivated by the subsistence farmers as staple food (Table 3). All crops were locally bred. Crops like wheat, barley and buckwheat were cultivated as major food crops. Knowledge regarding different crops and different fields was distinct. The people commonly practiced rotation of farm fields for the replenishment of nutrients. Majority of the crops were harvested during rainy season; heavy snowfall did not permit the cultivation of winter crops. Crop diversity in the study area was significantly higher as compared to northeast Himalayas (Mishra and Ramakrishnan 1982), central Himalayas (Pandey and Singh 1984) and other mountainous regions (Garcia-Ruiz and Lasanta-Martinez 1993, Sarmiento et al 1993, Dougherty 1994).

Landscape variability and environmental uncertainty had favoured the evolution of multiple ways of cultivation of varieties of local crops. Crop cultivation in Kinnaur with terraced lands varied and depended on field quality. However introduction of fruit bearing trees like apple was hardly 50 years old. Large scale plantation of apple in the area was required

Table 3. The diversity of crops grown in Kinnaur district

| Botanical name | Common name/local name | Sowing period | Harvesting period |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Amaranthus paniculatus | Amaranthus/Dankhar | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Chenopodium album | Bathua/Bithoo | Apr-May | Sept |
| Eleusine coracana | Koda/Ragi/Kodro | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Panicum miliaceum | Cheenee/Räd | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Setaria italica | Koning | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Hordeum vulgare | Jo/Täg/ Chag | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Zea mays | Makki/Chhalia | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Fagopyrum tataricum | Ogla/Olgo | May | Sept-Oct |
| F esculentum | Phapra/Bras | May | Oct |
| Triticum aestivum | Gehu/Zöd | Mar-Apr | Aug-Sept |
| Phaseolus vulgaris | Rajmah/Paithang | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Vigna radiata | Urd/Rok Mash | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Lens esculenta | Masur | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Phaseolus mungo | Moong | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Glysine max | Bhatt/Botang | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Brassica spp | Sarson/Sheshong | May-June | Sept |
| Brassica spp | Salgam | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Raphanus sativus | Muli | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |
| Solanum tuberosum | Aloo/Halgong | Apr-May | Sept |
| Pisum sativum | Matar | Apr-May | Sept |
| Brassica spp | Gobhi | Apr-May | Aug-Sept |

thorough landscape study and planning (Singh and Ram 1997).

Approximately 15 plant species were found to be used by local people for medicinal purposes under their traditional healthcare system. Some of the commonly used species are presented in Table 4. The frequency of use varied depending upon the species and ailments. Technical knowhow to make ingredients and doses used for

respective diseases were highly praiseworthy. Some of the medicinal plants were also used to cure animal diseases. Owing to high market demand for some of the medicinal plants like Trillidium govanianum, Aconitum heterophyllum, Jurinea macrocephala, Dioscoria deltoids, Picrorrhiza kurrooa and Podophyllum hexandrum were being harvested and collected regularly on large scale for commercial purpose.

Table 4. Local knowledge related to use of common medicinal plants

| Botanical name | Common/local name | Usage | Percentage of families used |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Aconitum | Patish | Root powder removes colic and indigestion | 100 |
| heterophyllum | | | |
| Bunium persicum | Kala Zeera | Seed powder cures jaundice, cough, cold | 95 |
| Berberis spp | Kashambal, Chava | Root powder used to cure joint pain | 95 |
| Viola odorata | Banafasha | Whole plant used during acute fever | 75 |
| Acorus calamus | Bach | Roots used during acute fever | 50 |
| Arnibia euchrom | Ratanjot | Roots used as dye | 75 |
| Dactylorhiza heterigera | Hathpanja | Paste of tuber applied on cuts, wounds | 100 |
| Dioscorea deltoides | Singli-Mingli | Tuber used as soap and for stomach problems | 100 |
| Hippophae spp | Chharma, Surch | Cures body pain | 90 |
| Jurinia macrocephala | Dhoop, Gugal, Shur | Roots used as stimulant during child birth, checks excessive bleeding and fever | 100 |
| Nardostachys grandiflora | Balchhar, Khome | Root extract cures hysteria, epilepsy and root powder cures gastric problems | 95 |
| Podophyllum hexandrum | Bankakroo | Root powder cures colic and vomiting | 100 |
| Saussurea lappa | Kuth, Dongor | Root extract cures cough and cold | 100 |
| Taxus baccata | Cha, Shing-Cha | Bark used in tea and cancer treatment | 90 |
| Picrorrhiza kurroo | Karoo, Kori | Root powder cures colic, body ache and diarrhoea | 95 |
| Trillidium govanianum | Nagchhatri | Roots are aphrodisiac | 50 |
| Morchella esculenta | Guchhi, Jhangmo | Fungus has high medicinal value | 75 |

Elder people had full faith in the use of medicinal plants and also showed their enthusiasm to educate the younger generation. Imparting scientific knowledge for cultivation of agricultural fields and wastelands which were close to similar climatic regions of high altitudes could promote the economic benefit of the subsistence farmers and also accelerate regeneration of species in their natural environment. Thus establishing a harmonic symbiotic relationship between the locals and the natural resources seems to be important for preservation of social, cultural, economic and natural heritage. Indigenous knowledge pertaining to the use of various plants for different purposes has been noted among the people of the study villages (Table 5). Leaves of species of Pinus, Abies, Picea and Cedrus were collected for the purpose of cattle bedding which ultimately got converted into organic manure used for crop production whereas leaves of Ficus, Morus, Pyrus, Pistacia, Quercus, Aesculus, Ulmus, Celtis, Eugenia and Salix were used for fodder purposes during the lean period. The area was endowed with a rich variety of wild edible species of food value. Wild edibles are known for their high nutritive values which improve milk production of milch cattle, goats and sheep and also keep the body warm during the chilled winter season. Hard labour and low monetary value involved in the collection of wild edibles were not yet commensurate with the market demand. Therefore availability and growth of these plants were not limited. There was an urgent need to improve the utility value of these wild edible plants by innovating value added products so that better economic returns were ensured to the farmers.

Similarly people had been using a number of plant species for fuelwood, timber, tools and implements making on a routine basis (Table 6). Like leaf litter collection, collection of fuelwood was permissible throughout the year. Usually one or two family members were engaged in these activities. People were well aware regarding the artisan work and the usage of varieties of local tools and implements to carry out their day to day domestic needs and activities. Some of them were skilled in preparation of wooden and bamboo-based items while a few of them for making iron articles symbolizing their interdependence and self-sufficiency. Low landholding people comprising socio-economically weaker sections of the society were more dependent on such locally designed tools. However poor people largely depended on indigenous tools to save their economy. It was felt that there was a strong need to improve the capacity of the locally designed implements such as watermills, Raksh and Khadi so that small scale industry coupled with employment could be generated at the household level.

Most of the animals in the study villages were of local breed (Table 7). Cows, bullocks, goats, sheep, mules,

Lal and Prasher

Table 5. Knowledge related to use of various plant resources

| Botanical name | Common/local name | Litter | Fodder | Fuel/timber/ tools | Edibles | % response |
|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|---------|---------------|
| Abies pindrow | Ri | + | - | + | - | 100 |
| Picea smithiana | Tosh | + | - | + | - | 100 |
| Betula utilis | Bhojpatra, | - | - | + | - | 100 |
| | Shrabra Botang | | | | | |
| Cedrus deodara | Deyar, Kelmang | + | - | ++ | - | 100 |
| Eugenia spp | Jamun, Kron | - | + | - | Fruits | 90 |
| Hippophae spp | Surach | - | - | - | Fruits | 90 |
| Juglans regia | Akhrot, Ka | - | - | + | Fruits | 90 |
| Pinus roxburghii | Lim | ++ | - | + | - | 100 |
| Pinus gerardiana | Rhi/Chilgoza | + | - | + | Seeds | 100 |
| P wallichian | Chilam, Golda | ++ | - | + | - | 100 |
| Prunus armeniaca | Khurmani, Chuli | - | - | - | Fruits | 100 |
| P persica | Behmi | - | - | - | Fruits | 100 |
| Castenia sativa | Bailaiti | - | - | + | Fruits | 50 |
| Salix spp | Willow, Shon | - | + | + | - | 90 |
| Aesculus indica | Poo Bothang | - | + | + | Fruits | 100 |
| Arundinaria | Bamboo/Nagali | - | + | + | - | 50 |
| falcata | _ | | | | | |
| Cotoneaster spp | Reuns | - | - | + | - | 75 |

^{&#}x27;++' major; '+' minor; '-' no use

Table 6. Local implements and tools used in the study village

| Common/local name | Usage |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Hal/Stal and Kol | Ploughing the farm fields |
| Gaiti and Kuti/Khot /Goling | Digging, weeding |
| Kulhari/Laasta | Cutting of wood, hedges |
| Basula/Basing | Wood finishing and plank making |
| Darat/Proshtong/Chatam | Cutting of bushes, hedges, lopping of trees etc |
| Sickle/Hasia/Jithrong/Thame | Cutting of crops, grasses etc |
| Kilta/Koting | Carrying of organic manure, food grains etc |
| Rassi/Bosh/Lat | Made up of sheep hair and used as rope |
| Patha/Kot/Köd | Made up of Akhrot tree or iron and used as scale for grain measurement |
| Gharat/Gotang/Gandhotar | Watermills to grind grains |
| Takli/Tawali | Spinning of wool |
| Raksh and Khadi/Phoshang and Khadi | Preparation of shawl, Pattu and Patti |

Table 7. Usage of different local livestock in the study area

| Zoological name | Common name | Local name | Usage |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|--|
| Bos indicus | Bail, bullocks | Herad, Dames, Zho, Gara, Gira, Buma | Ploughing and drought work |
| Bos indicus | Gai, cow | Lang, Zome, Gare, Gire, Kizme, Brume | Milk, milk products, wool and its products |
| Ovis aries | Bher, sheep | Hulas | Breeding, drought, wool, skin as baggage preparation |
| Ovis aries | Bher, sheep | Khas | Milk, wool and baggage preparation |
| Capra hirtus | Bakra, he-goat | Balu, Ach | Breeding purpose, wool, drought, baggage |
| Capra hirtus | Bakri, she-goat | Bhakhor | Milk, wool and its products and baggage |
| Equus spp | Ghora/mule | Rang | Carrying luggage, grains etc. |
| Equus spp | Gadha/ass, ponies | Phoch | Beast of burden |
| Bos grunniens | Yak | Yakcha | Domesticated wild animal act as Breeder |

ponies and yaks constituted the major livestock. Wild yak was domesticated for the breeding and the offsprings of yak were used for various purposes. Bullocks were used for ploughing. Traditional knowledge related to preparation of milk products was very unique. Sheep and goats were domesticated in remote villages solely for meat, wool production and distress selling. Mules and ponies were used to fulfill their transportation need and were reared usually by small landholders whose livelihood was dependent on all these wild animals as these were geo-climatically suited to the area.

CONCLUSION

The tribal people of Kinnaur district of Himachal Pradesh have indigenously been conserving age old tradition, culture

and natural resources and were well aware regarding environmental protection. Sociocultural diversity and environmental complexity have favoured tribal people to develop symbiotic relationship with the locally available resources and precarious nature. Such conducive practices have helped the people for better pursuit of livelihood in particular and management of the entire watershed/landscape in general. Economy of the people could be improved by empowering the efficiency of the locally available resources, encouraging small scale industries, increasing market values of medicinal plants and food items, sensitizing the people for participation in development planning and awareness building in indigenous knowledge systems-based packages. However planning to improve their overall economy should be based on the cultural and social sentiments of the local people.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous 2011. Census of India. District Census Handbook, Kinnaur district, Himachal Pradesh, Director of Census Operations, Himachal Pradesh, India.
- Cohn JP 1988. Culture and conservation. BioScience **38**(**7**): 450-453.
- Davis SH and Wali A 1994. Indigenous land tenure and tropical forest management in Latin America. Ambio 23(8): 485-490.
- Dougherty WW 1994. Linking between energy, environment and society in the high atlas mountains of Morocco. Mountain Research and Development **14:** 119-135.
- Farooquee NA, Majila BA and Kala CP 2004. Indigenous knowledge systems and sustainable management of natural resources in a high altitude society in Kumaun Himalaya. India Journal of Human Ecology **16(1)**: 33-42
- Garcia-Ruiz JM and Lasanta-Martinez T 1993. Land use conflicts as a result of land use change in the central Spanish pyrenees: a review. Mountain Research and Development 13: 275-304.
- Mishra BK and Ramakrishnan PS 1982. Energy flow through a village ecosystem with slash and burn agriculture in northeastern India. Agricultural Systems 9: 57-79.
- Pandey DN 1996. Beyond vanishing woods: participatory survival options for wildlife, forests and people. CSD and Himanshu, Mussoorie/New Delhi/Udaipur, India, 222p.
- Pandey U and Singh JS 1984. Energetics of hill agroecosystems: a study from central Himalaya. Agricultural Systems 13: 83-95.
- Pernetta JC and Hill L 1984. Traditional use and conservation of resources in the Pacific basin. Ambio **13(5-6)**: 359-364.
- Ramakrishnan PS 1992. Shifting agriculture and sustainable development: an interdisciplinary study from northeastern India. Man and Biosphere Book Series 10, UNESCO, Paris and

- Parthenon Publishing, Caernforth, Lancashire, UK, 424p.
- Sarmiento L, Monasterio M, and Montilla M 1993. Ecological bases, sustainability and current trends in traditional agriculture in the Venezuelan high Andes. Mountain Research and Development 13: 167-176.
- Scott CA and Walter MF 1993. Local knowledge and conventional soil science approaches to erosional processes in the Shivalik Himalaya. Mountain Research and Development **13(1):** 61-72.
- Singh GS 1996. Changing traditional land use pattern in Himachal Himalaya at Kullu, Himachal Pradesh. In: Studies in Indian agro-ecosystems (PS Pathak and B Gopal eds), pp 1-13.
- Singh GS 1997a. Sacred groves in western Himalaya: an eco-cultural imperative. Man in India **77(2-3)**: 247-257.
- Singh GS 1997b. Socio-cultural evaluation of sacred groves for biodiversity conservation in northwestern Himalaya. Journal of Hill Research **10(1):** 43-50.
- Singh GS 1998. Environmental, ecological and socioeconomic impact of introduced crops in western Himalaya: a case study of Kullu valley. Journal of Human Ecology **9(1):** 63-72.
- Singh GS 2000. Dynamics of sacred groves in western Himalaya: a paradigm of community-based practices. Journal Indian Anthropological Society **35**: 101-107.
- Singh GS 2001. People and environment in Himachal Himalaya: a socio-economic perspective. In: People and environment in India (KK Misra and MLK Murty eds). Discovery Publishing House, New Delhi, India, pp 156-178.
- Singh GS and Ram SC 1997. Prospects of sustainable development of Kullu valley in northwestern Himalaya. Journal Rural Development **16(2)**: 359-368.
- Singh GS, Ram SC and Kuniyal JC 1997a. Changing traditional land use patterns in the great Himalayas: a case study from Lahaul valley.

Traditional knowledge, conservation practices: a case study

Journal of. Environmental Systems **25(2):** 195-211.

Singh GS, Rao KS and Saxena KG 1997b. Energy and economic efficiency of the mountain farming system: a case study in the northwestern Himalaya. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 9(2-3): 25-49.

Singh GS, Saxena KG, Rao KS and Ram SC 1996. Traditional knowledge and threat of its extinction in Chhakinal watershed in northwestern Himdaya Manin India 76(1): 1-17.

Singh JS 2002. The biodiversity crisis: a multifaceted review. Current Science **82:** 638-647.