# Evaluation of various levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and economics of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo* L) in Vylogam soil series of Madurai district

#### P GAYATHRI and P SARAVANA PANDIAN

Department of Soils and Environment
Agricultural College and Research Institute (TNAU), Madurai 625104 Tamil Nadu, India
Email for correspondence: mani.gayathri3@gmail.com

© Society for Advancement of Human and Nature 2019

Received: 31.10.2018/Accepted: 14.11.2018

#### **ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted to study the interaction effect of phosphorus and sulphur on improvement of productivity of blackgram (VBN 4) in Vylogam soil series of Madurai district, Tamil Nadu during kharif season 2017. The experiment was laid out in a factorial randomized block design with three replications having 25 treatment combinations viz five levels of phosphorus (0, 20, 40, 60 and 80 kg  $P_2O_5$ /ha) applied through diammonium phosphate as factor A and five levels of sulphur (0, 20, 40, 60 and 80 kg S/ha) applied through elemental sulphur as factor B. The results revealed that application of P up to 60 kg/ha and increasing levels of sulphur up to 40 kg/ha proved to be the best treatments in improving the grain and haulm yield. Higher doses of phosphorus and sulphur did not increase the parameters further. Thus interaction of phosphorus and sulphur exhibited a strong synergistic relationship at  $P_{60}S_{40}$  kg/ha on yield of blackgram with grain yield (1103 kg/ha) and haulm yield (1683 kg/ha) in Vylogam soil series of the district.

Keywords: Phosphorus; sulphur; interaction; blackgram; Vyglogam

### INTRODUCTION

Pulses are the cheapest source of dietary proteins. Blackgram is one among the important pulse crops in India both in terms of total area and production. Currently blackgram area in the country stands at 3.47 Mha with a production of 1.43 MT. In Tamil Nadu blackgram is a popular pulse crop occupying an area of 4.56 lakh hectare with a production of 2.36 lakh tonne (Kannaiyan 2001). The productivity of pulses mainly depends on proper nutrient management practices particularly phosphorus (P) and sulphur (S). Low organic matter content in light-textured soils coupled with low and imbalanced application of nutrients to the crop limit the full potential of yield and is the main yield barrier for crops. To solve this problem synthetic fertilizers were always thought to be a better way to improve the soil fertility and crop productivity but unfortunately the excessive use of these creates a number of serious environmental and health risks.

Phosphorus and sulphur play a vital role in the nutrition of plants. Phosphorus is an essential

macronutrient required for plant growth and development. It plays a key role in photosynthesis, metabolism of sugars, energy storage and transfer, cell division, cell enlargement, transfer of genetic information, root growth, nodulation and nitrogen fixation in plants. Sulphur is the second most important plant nutrient after phosphorus for pulses. Sulphur has a profound influence on protein synthesis for pulses and is a part of amino acids such as cystein, cystine and methionine. In fact these are the nutrients which lack mostly in the soils. Analysis of Indian soils has indicated that soils are medium to low in the phosphorus and deficient in sulphur. Out of 135 districts of Tamil Nadu under pulses soils in 68 districts are low and 62 districts are medium in available P status (Shweta and Malik 2014). Next most important emerging nutrient that is showing widespread deficiency is sulphur. Sulphur deficiencies have been reported from 72 countries in the world. Over 27000 soil samples from twelve states of India were analysed of which 40 per cent were found deficient and another 35 per cent were potentially deficient in available sulphur (Biswas et al 2004).

Phosphatic fertilizer application results in increased anion adsorption sites by phosphate which releases sulphate ions into the soil solution (Tiwari and Gupta 2006). Studies have indicated both synergistic and antagonistic relationship between sulphur and phosphorus but their relationship depends on their rate of application and crop species (Sinha et al 1995). Synergistic effect of applied phosphorus and sulphur was observed by Pandey et al (2003) in chickpea. Antagonistic relationship between P and S was observed in moong and wheat by Islam et al (2006). Performance of different levels of P and S may be varied and its output mainly depends on nature of crops, soil status and agroclimatic conditions too (Singh et al 2014). Information on combined application of P and S on yield of black gram is limited in Tamil Nadu. It was therefore necessary to develop a strong workable and compatible package of phosphorus and sulphur management for blackgram based on scientific facts and local conditions. Thus the present study was undertaken to investigate the effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield and economics of blackgram in Madurai district of Tamil Nadu.

#### **MATERIAL and METHODS**

A field experiment was conducted in farmers' fields at Allangampatti village, Melur block, Madurai district, Tamil Nadu with test crop blackgram (VBN 4) during the year 2017 to evaluate the effect of phosphorus and sulphur and to find out the optimum levels of P and S for maximum productivity of blackgram. The soil of the experimental site belonged to Vylogam series and according to USDA soil taxonomy it was classified as Typic Rhodustalf. The soil was sandy loam in texture with pH 7.1 having an organic carbon content of 2.4 g/kg, 236 kg/kg of available nitrogen, 10.2 kg/ha of available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 248 kg/ha of available K<sub>2</sub>O and 5.2 mg/kg of CaCl<sub>2</sub> extractable S. The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications having twenty five treatment combinations viz five levels of phosphorus (0, 20, 40, 60 and 80 kg/ha) as factor A and five levels of sulphur (0, 20, 40, 60 and 80 kg/ha) as factor B. Nitrogen was applied at the rate of 25 kg/ ha and potassium at the rate of 25 kg/ha in all the treatments. Nitrogen was applied through urea, phosphorus through diammonium phosphate, potassium through muriate of potash and S through elemental sulphur (field grade 90% pure) and was applied as basal. The experimental plot size was 5 x 4 m. The crop was sown in middle of August. The blackgram

variety used was Vamban 4 (CO 4 x PDU 102) sown at the rate 30 kg/ha with a spacing of 30 x 10 cm. Hand weeding was done twice at 20 and 40 days after sowing.

Five plants from each plot were selected at random, tagged and yield parameters were recorded. Harvesting of blackgram was done from net plot area leaving two border rows all around. Well-matured blackgram plants were pulled out and sun-dried for two days. Threshing was done by beating with sticks. Grain yield was recorded plot-wise at 14 per cent moisture level. The dry weight of haulm yield from each plot was also recorded.

The data collected were statistically analyzed as suggested by Gomez and Gomez (1984).

#### RESULTS and DISCUSSION

## Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on grain and haulm yield of blackgram

The effect of different levels of P and S application on grain and haulm yield of blackgram is presented in Table 1. It is apparent from the data that the application of P significantly and markedly increased the grain and haulm yield up to P 60 kg/ha and thereafter showed a declining trend with higher doses. The highest grain and haulm yield of 962 and 1414 kg/harespectively was recorded in P 60 kg/ha. The increase in grain and haulm yield with 60 kg/ha of phosphorus application over control was 31 and 34 per cent respectively which might be due to increased supply of phosphorus to plants. The supply of phosphorus to soil might have accelerated cell division and enlargement, favoured carbohydrate and fat metabolism and respiration in plants leading to increased growth and yield (Dhage et al 2014).

The sulphur application also significantly increased the grain and haulm yield up to S 40 kg/ha. The magnitude of increase in grain and haulm yield was 20.2 and 23.1 per cent respectively due to application of S 40 kg/ha over control. The magnitude of response was found more in case of phosphorus as compared to sulphur. The application of sulphur might have increased the availability of nutrient to plants due to improved nutritional environment which in turn favourably influenced the energy transformation activation of enzymes, chlorophyll synthesis as well as increased carbohydrate metabolism. These results corroborate the findings of Dhage et al (2014).

Table 1. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on yield of blackgram in Vylogam soil series (mean of three replications)

| P level<br>(kg/ha) | Grain yield (kg/ha) at S level (kg/ha) |     |      |     |     | Haulm yield (kg/ha) at S level (kg/ha) |      |      |      |      |      |      |
|--------------------|--|-----|------|-----|-----|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|
|                    | 0                                      | 20  | 40   | 60  | 80  | Mean                                   | 0    | 20   | 40   | 60   | 80   | Mean |
| 0                  | 651                                    | 709 | 721  | 753 | 820 | 731                                    | 963  | 1031 | 1067 | 1161 | 1072 | 1059 |
| 20                 | 679                                    | 720 | 769  | 808 | 838 | 763                                    | 1029 | 1097 | 1161 | 1226 | 1098 | 1122 |
| 40                 | 692                                    | 756 | 866  | 834 | 842 | 798                                    | 1092 | 1156 | 1219 | 1252 | 1187 | 1181 |
| 60                 | 821                                    | 993 | 1103 | 974 | 921 | 962                                    | 1156 | 1459 | 1683 | 1414 | 1356 | 1414 |
| 80                 | 843                                    | 911 | 1058 | 936 | 915 | 933                                    | 1223 | 1288 | 1589 | 1350 | 1283 | 1347 |
| Mean               | 737                                    | 818 | 903  | 861 | 867 | -                                      | 1093 | 1206 | 1344 | 1281 | 1199 | -    |

|             |       | Grain yield | d     | Haulm yield |       |       |  |
|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|--|
|             | P     | S           | PxS   | P           | S     | PxS   |  |
| SEd         | 11.39 | 11.39       | 25.47 | 15.52       | 15.52 | 30.15 |  |
| $CD_{0.05}$ | 22.90 | 22.90       | 51.21 | 30.63       | 30.63 | 62.45 |  |

A strong synergistic effect on grain and haulm yield was registered by combined application of P 60 kg/ha + S 40 kg/ha and the highest grain and haulm yield was 1103 and 1683 kg/harespectively. This might be due to greater release and uptake of nutrients causing a favourable environment for better growth and development of plants. These results are in conformity with the findings of Niraj and Prakash (2014) in blackgram. The P and S both being anions might have complemented each other for the absorption sites in order to strike a proper balance between cation and anion in producing synergistic effect (Aulakh and Pasricha 1977). Singh et al (1995) reported that nature of P and S interaction depends on their rate of action.

## Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on economics of blackgram

The economics of blackgram production was considerably influenced by interaction of various levels of phosphorus and sulphur (Table 2). The cost of cultivation was maximum (Rs 34968) under the treatment P<sub>80</sub>S<sub>80</sub> and minimum (Rs 22808) under treatment P<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub>. The maximum net profit was recorded under the treatment  $P_{60}S_{40}$  (Rs 33020) and the lowest under P<sub>0</sub>S<sub>0</sub> (Rs 13960). The magnitude of benefit-cost ratio varied from 1.39 to 2.13. The highest B-C ratio of 2.13 was registered in case of P<sub>60</sub>S<sub>40</sub> Findings of this study provided a sound base to believe that combined application of phosphorus and sulphur increased the grain and haulm yield of blackgram crop over control and was proved to be the most economical treatment for increasing net profit. Similar findings were made by Das (2017).

#### **REFERENCES**

Aulakh MS and Pasricha NS 1977. Interaction effect of sulphur and phosphorus on growth and nutrient content of moong (*Phaseolus aureus* L). Plant and Soil **47(2):** 341-350.

Biswas BC, Sarkar MC, Tanwar SPS and Das S 2004. Sulphur deficiency in soil and crop response to fertilizer sulphur in India. Fertilizer News **49(10)**: 13-33.

Das SK 2017. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on yield attributes, yield, nodulation and nutrient uptake of green gram [*Vigna radiate* (L) Wilczek]. Legume Research **40(1)**: 138-143.

Dhage SJ, Patil VD and Dhamak AL 2014. Influence of phosphorus and sulphur levels on nodulation, growth parameters and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L) grown on Vertisol. Asian Journal of Soil Science **9(2):** 244-249.

Gomez KA and Gomez AA 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. International Rice Research Institute, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Islam MN, Hoque S and Islam A 2006. Effect of P x S interactions on nutrient concentration and yield of wheat, rice and mungbean. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science **54:** 86-91.

Kannaiyan S 2001. Strategies for increasing production of pulses in Tamil Nadu. National Symposium on Pulses and Oil Seeds for Sustainable Agriculture, 29-31 July 2001, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

Niraj VPS and Prakash V 2014. Effect of phosphorus and sulphur on growth, yield and quality of blackgram

Table 2. Effect of different levels of phosphorus and sulphur on economics and benefit-cost ratio of blackgram in Vylogam soil series

| P level (kg/ha)    | S level (kg/ha) |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| (kg/lia)           | 0               | 20    | 40    | 60    | 80    |  |  |  |  |
| Total cost of cu   | ıltivation (Rs  | s)    |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 0                  | 22808           | 25408 | 28008 | 30608 | 33208 |  |  |  |  |
| 20                 | 23248           | 25848 | 28448 | 31048 | 33648 |  |  |  |  |
| 40                 | 23688           | 26288 | 28888 | 31488 | 34088 |  |  |  |  |
| 60                 | 24128           | 26728 | 29328 | 31928 | 34528 |  |  |  |  |
| 80                 | 24568           | 27168 | 29768 | 32368 | 34968 |  |  |  |  |
| Gross return (Rs)  |                 |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 0                  | 36768           | 40026 | 40722 | 42576 | 46172 |  |  |  |  |
| 20                 | 38374           | 40697 | 43456 | 45666 | 47188 |  |  |  |  |
| 40                 | 39152           | 42736 | 48849 | 47122 | 47497 |  |  |  |  |
| 60                 | 46311           | 56074 | 62348 | 54984 | 52011 |  |  |  |  |
| 80                 | 47588           | 51393 | 59174 | 52830 | 51608 |  |  |  |  |
| Net return (Rs     | s)              |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 0                  | 13960           | 14618 | 12714 | 11968 | 12964 |  |  |  |  |
| 20                 | 15126           | 14849 | 15008 | 14618 | 13540 |  |  |  |  |
| 40                 | 15464           | 16448 | 19961 | 15634 | 13409 |  |  |  |  |
| 60                 | 22183           | 29346 | 33020 | 23056 | 17483 |  |  |  |  |
| 80                 | 23020           | 24225 | 30011 | 20462 | 16640 |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit-cost ratio |                 |       |       |       |       |  |  |  |  |
| 0                  | 1.61            | 1.58  | 1.45  | 1.39  | 1.39  |  |  |  |  |
| 20                 | 1.65            | 1.57  | 1.53  | 1.47  | 1.40  |  |  |  |  |
| 40                 | 1.65            | 1.63  | 1.69  | 1.50  | 1.39  |  |  |  |  |
| 60                 | 1.92            | 2.10  | 2.13  | 1.72  | 1.51  |  |  |  |  |
| 80                 | 1.94            | 1.89  | 2.01  | 1.63  | 1.48  |  |  |  |  |

Value of blackgram seed produced= Rs 55/kg, Value of haulm= Re 1/kg

(*Phaseolus mungo* L). Asian Journal of Soil Science **9(1):** 117-120.

Pandey IP, Sharan OM and Pandey SB 2003. Response of linseed to different levels of phosphorus and sulphur application. Annals of Plant and Soil Research 5: 99-101.

Shweta and Malik M 2014. Response of pulse production to phosphorus- a review. Agricultural Reviews **35(4)**: 295-298.

Singh R, Singh AK and Kumar P 2014. Performance of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncia* L) in response to integrated nutrient management. Journal of AgriSearch 1(1): 9-12.

Singh V, Kumar V and Karawasra SPS 1995. Interaction of sulphur and zinc on dry matter yield, concentration and uptake of sulphur in green gram (*Vigna radiata* L). Crop Research **9:** 32-41.

Sinha RB, Sakal R and Kumar S 1995. Sulphur and phosphorus nutrition of winter maize in calcareous soil. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science **43(3)**: 413-418.

Tiwari KN and Gupta BR 2006. Sulphur for sustainable high yield agriculture in Uttar Pradesh. Indian Journal of Fertilizers 1(11): 37-52.